

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

Dr DM Indika Bandara,)	C/A No. 3:16-cv-3212-TLW-PJG
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
Dan Mann, Richland-Lexington Airport)	
District Commission Members, AAE Director;)	
James A Compton, Richland-Lexington)	
Airport District Commission Members)	
(Chairman); Carol Fowler, Richland-)	
Lexington Airport District Commission)	
Members; F Xavier Starkes, Richland-)	
Lexington Airport District Commission)	
Members, Esq; William Dukes, Richland-)	
Lexington Airport District Commission)	
Members, also known as Bill; Jerrod F)	
Howard, Richland-Lexington Airport District)	
Commission Members; Richard McIntyre,)	
Richland-Lexington Airport District)	
Commission Members; Dan P Bell, Richland-)	
Lexington Airport District Commission)	
Members; Hazel L Bennett, Richland-)	
Lexington Airport District Commission)	
Members; DJ Carson, Richland-Lexington)	
Airport District Commission Members; David)	
N Jordan, Richland-Lexington Airport District)	
Commission Members; James L Whitmire,)	
Richland-Lexington Airport District)	
Commission Members; Duane Cooper,)	
Richland-Lexington Airport District)	
Commission Members; Lynne Douglas,)	
Richland-Lexington Airport District)	
Commission Members,)	
)	
Defendants.		

This is a civil action filed by a *pro se* litigant. Under Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), pretrial proceedings in this action have been referred to the assigned United States Magistrate Judge. On October 21, 2016, the court issued an order authorizing issuance and service of process on the defendants. (ECF No. 14.) In that order, under the heading “Construction of the Pleading,” the

court, following initial screening pursuant to applicable law, listed the claims it construed as raised by the Plaintiff in the Complaint.

Counsel has now entered an appearance for one or more named defendants. The court directs *each legal representative entering an appearance in this matter on behalf of any defendant* to certify that the court's order authorizing service of process has been received and that the Plaintiff's claims as construed by the court have been reviewed by completing the "Defendant's Certification" below and filing it with the court within seven (7) days from the date of this order. If *any party* disagrees with the court's construction of the Plaintiff's claims, he or she may file an appropriate motion with the court within seven (7) days from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 15, 2016
Columbia, South Carolina

s/Paige J. Gossett
Paige J. Gossett
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Defendant's Certification

I, _____ (*print name*), hereby certify that I have received the order authorizing issuance and service of process in this case and have reviewed the court's construction of the pleading.

Signature of party or legal representative

Date